



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,814	01/09/2006	Kenji Miyazaki	18962	6341
23389	7590	04/14/2009	EXAMINER	
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC			XU, XIAOYUN	
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA				
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/540,814	MIYAZAKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ROBERT XU	1797	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 March 2009.

2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

## DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 03/20/2009 has been entered and fully considered. Claims 1-18 are pending, of which Claims 1, 4, 5, 8 and 9 are amended.

### ***Response to Amendment***

2. In response to amendment, the examiner withdraws 112, second paragraph, rejection and maintains rejection over the prior art established in the previous Office action.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. **Claims 1, 2 and 4-6** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsugita et al. (Electrophoresis, 1998) (Tsugita) in view of Covey et al. (US Patent 5,952,653) (Convey) and Xu et al. (Analytical Biochemistry, 1997) (Xu).

In regard to Claim 1, Tsugita teaches a method of analyzing C-terminal sequence of a peptide by means of mass spectrometry.

Tsugita teaches pretreatment step using acetic anhydride react with the dried peptide to acetylate the N-terminus and form an oxazolone at the C-terminal carboxyl group (see page 930, right col. 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph). While Tsugita does not specifically teach acetylation of the side chain of lysine residue, acetylation of the side chain of lysine residue is the inherent result of reacting acetic anhydride with peptide that has lysine residue.

Tsugita teaches a step of allowing acetic anhydride to act on the dry peptide in the presence of pentafluoropropionic methyl ester (PFPM<sub>e</sub>) to successively release the C-terminal amino acid by the cleavage of the 5-oxazolone ring (see page 930, right col. 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph). PFPM<sub>e</sub> is similar to pentafluoropropionic acid (PFPA) in structure and reaction. Tusgita also teaches using PFPA in the cleavage of predetermined position of the peptide (see page 932, left col. 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph). It would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art to substitute PFPM<sub>e</sub> with PFPA in the step of releasing the C-terminal amino acids in Tsugita method, because these compounds have similar structures and similar effects.

Tsugita teaches hydrolysis treatment step by allowing water molecules to act on the C-terminal-deleted peptides in the presence of dimethylamino ethanol (DMAE) (see page 930, right col. 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph).

Tsugita teaches measuring the decreases in molecular weight associated with the successive release of the C-terminal amino acids by mass spectra (see Table 3).

Tsugita does not specifically teach allowing trypsin to act on the sample to cleave peptide for mass spectrometer analysis. Tsugita teaches that highly specific proteases have been used for specific fragmentation in the peptide-mass fingerprinting technique (see page 931, left col. 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). Trypsin is one of highly specific proteases commonly used. Covey teaches using trypsin to cleave peptide for mass spectrometry analysis (see abstract). Covey further teaches that the tryptic fragments ions are predominantly doubly charge cationic species, because arginine and lysine are both very basic and each picks up a positive charged proton in solution (see col. 2. lines 63-67; Col. 3, lines 39-42), and the C-terminal tryptic fragment not containing an arginine or a lysine is single charged (see col. 5, lines 58-60). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use trypsin to cleave the peptide before mass spectrometry analysis, as taught by Covey, in the method of Tsugita, because smaller peptides are easier to analyze by mass spectrometry.

Tsugita does not teach the protocol of analyzing mass spectra by comparing the peaks of cationic species with the peaks of the anionic species as described in the steps 1-9 in the instant claim. As has been discussed above, Covey teaches that tryptic fragments ions are predominantly doubly charge cationic species because arginine and lysine are both very basic and each picks up a positive charged proton in solution (see col. 2. lines 63-67; Col. 3, lines 39-42), and the C-terminal tryptic fragment not containing an arginine or a lysine is single charged (see col. 5, lines 58-60). The method of analyzing mass spectra according to the expected charges of the species is well known in the art.

Xu teaches that fragmentation patterns in positive mode and negative mode are complementary for the elucidation of the peptide chain sequence (see abstract). Xu further teaches correlating peaks that only different in a chemical group, e.g. OH (mass

of 18) or N-acetyl glucosamine (MurNAC mass of 203) because of the reaction (see page 10, right col.). Xu's teaching is similar to the criteria 5a-1, 5a-2, 5a-3, 5b-1, 5b-2, 5b-3 of the instant claim.

Applicant is advised that the rationale to support a conclusion that the claim would have been obvious is that all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination yielded nothing more than predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art. (see KSR, 550 U.S. at \_\_\_, 82 USPQ2d at 1395) (see MPEP 2143). In that regard, one of ordinary skill in the art could have utilized the charge difference between normal tryptic fragments and C-terminal tryptic fragment as taught by Covey, and correlated the fragmentation patterns in positive mode and negative mode in relative intensity and further correlated with the special group difference due to chemical reaction, as taught by Xu to elucidate the peptide chain sequence by calculating the mass difference between the C-terminal successive deleted peptides as taught by Tsugita, with the predictable result.

In regard to Claim 2, it is well known in the art that spiked noise in mass spectra usually has narrower full-width of half maximum than normal peak of signal. Therefore, removing peaks of spiked noise based on full-width of half maximum would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art. The peak smoothing and smoothing algorithms are also well known in the art. Therefore, peak smoothing would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art.

In regard to Claim 4, the normal tryptic fragments carry two positive charges as taught by Covey. Under the same reason, when C-terminal fragment has arginine at the C-terminal (CFAC), it will also carry two positive charges. However, just like other C-terminal fragments, CFAC will also have an adjacent fragment peak that has molecular weight difference from CFAC equals formula weight of natural chain amino acid or acylated amino acid as taught by Tsugita. It would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art to use the criteria of adjacent peaks to judge if the strong cationic peak is CFAC based on teaching of Tsugita and Convey.

In regard to Claim 5, Tsugita teaches measuring the decrease in molecular weight associated with successive release of the C-terminal amino acids (see Table 3). Tsugita teaches using MALDI-TOF-MS for the measurement (see page 931, left col. 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). Xu teaches considering fragmentation patterns in both cationic species (positive mode) and anionic species (negative modes) (see abstract). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for ordinary skill in the art to consider both cationic species and anionic species as taught by Xu in Tsugita's method to obtain the peptide sequence, because Xu specifically teaches that the fragmentation in positive mode and negative mode are complementary for elucidation of the peptide sequence.

In regard to Claim 6, Tsugita teaches a process for releasing the C-terminal amino acids successively. Tsugita teaches pretreatment step using acetic anhydride and acetic acid vapor react with the dried peptide at 60°C to acetylate the N-terminus and form an oxazolone at the C-terminal carboxyl group (see page 930, right col. 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph). Tsugita does not specifically teach acetylation of the side chain of lysine residue. Acetylation of the side chain of lysine residue is the inherent result of reacting acetic anhydride with peptide that has lysine residue.

Tsugita teaches a step of allowing acetic anhydride vapor to act on the dry peptide in the presence of pentafluoropropionic methyl ester (PFPM<sub>e</sub>) vapor to successively release the C-terminal amino acid by the cleavage of the 5-oxazolone ring at 5°C (see page 930, right col. 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph). PFPM<sub>e</sub> is similar to pentafluoropropionic acid (PFPA) in structure and reaction. Tsugita also teaches using PFPA in the cleavage of predetermined position of the peptide (see page 932, left col. 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph). It would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art to substitute PFPM<sub>e</sub> with PFPA in the step of releasing the C-terminal amino acids. Tsugita allows the reaction at 5°C. The court has held that [W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation (*In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955)). In that regard, although 5°C is outside the range of 15-60°C in the instant claim, it would have been obvious for ordinary skill in the art to optimize the reaction temperature by routine experimentation.

Tsugita does not literally teach removing the remaining alkanoic acid anhydride and perfluoroalkanoic acid in a dry state at the end of C-terminal cleaving reaction. However, this removing step at the end of the reaction is inherent part of the reaction step, because when the reaction is complete, the remaining reagents should be removed. Tsugita teaches hydrolysis treatment step by allowing water molecules to act on the C-terminal-deleted peptides in the presence of dimethylamino ethanol (DMAE). (see page 930, right col. 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph). Again, the removing remaining basic nitrogen-containing organic is inherent part of the reaction step.

Tsugita does not specifically teach allowing trypsin to act on the sample to cleave peptide for mass spectrometer analysis. Tsugita teaches that highly specific proteases have been used for specific fragmentation in the peptide-mass fingerprinting technique (see page 931, left col. 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). Trypsin is one of highly specific proteases commonly used. Covey teaches using trypsin to cleave peptide for mass spectrometry analysis (see abstract). Covey further teaches that the tryptic fragments ions are predominantly doubly charge cationic species because arginine and lysine are both very basic and each picks up a positive charged proton in solution (see col. 2. lines 63-67; Col. 3, lines 39-42), and the C-terminal tryptic fragment not contain an arginine or a lysine is signally charge (see col. 5, lines 58-60). Since the side chain of lysine is protected by acetylation treatment as discussed above, only arginine site will be cut by trypsin. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use trypsin to cleave the peptide before mass spectrometry analysis as taught by Covey in the method of Tsugita, because smaller peptides are easier to analyze by mass spectrometry.

Removing trypsin at the end of the reaction is inherent part of the reaction. Desalting treatment is commonly used for changing buffer or removing buffer solution component. It would have been obvious to ordinary skill in he art to use desalting treatment to remove the trypsin from the buffer solution to stop the reaction. Drying sample is a required step before performing MALDI-TOF-MS.

Tsugita teaches using MALDI-TOF-MS for measuring the molecular weight of the peptide fragments (see page 931, left col. 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). Tsugita does not teach

Art Unit: 1797

analyzing mass spectra by comparing the peaks of cationic species with the peaks of the anionic species. As has been discussed above, Covey teaches that tryptic fragments ions are predominantly doubly charge cationic species because arginine and lysine are both very basic and each picks up a positive charged proton in solution (see col. 2, lines 63-67; Col. 3, lines 39-42), and the C-terminal tryptic fragment not containing an arginine or a lysine is signally charge (see col. 5, lines 58-60). The method of analyzing mass spectra according to the expected charges of the species is well known in the art. Xu teaches that fragmentation patterns in positive mode and negative mode are complementary for the elucidation of the peptide chain sequence (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the charge difference between normal tryptic fragments and C-terminal tryptic fragment as taught by Covey and correlate the fragmentation patterns in positive mode and negative mode as taught by Xu to elucidate the peptide chain sequence by means of MALDI-TOF-MS as taught by Tsugita.

5. **Claim 3** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsugita in view of Covey and Xu, as applied to claims 1-2 and 4-6 above, and further in view of Harris et al. (Rapid Communications in mass spectrometry, 2002) (Harris).

In regard to Claim 3, Tsugita in view of Convey and Xu do not teach using the fragments of trypsin autolysis as the internal standard to calibrate mass spectra. The molecular weights and charges of peptide fragments derived from the trypsin autolysis are well known in the art. Harris teaches using trypsin autolysis fragments as mass calibrants in matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (see abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art to modify Tsugita-Convey-Xu's method by calibrating mass spectra using the peptide fragments of trypsin autolysis, as taught by Harris.

6. **Claims 7-17** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsugita in view of Covey and Xu as applied to 1,2 and 4-6 above, and further in view of Vogt et al. (Polymer Bulletin, 1996) (Vogt)

In regard to Claim 7, Tsugita teaches separating target peptide by electrophoresis before process for C-terminal analysis (see page 929, right col. 2<sup>nd</sup>

Art Unit: 1797

paragraph). Tsugita in view of Convey and Xu do not teach releasing the C-terminal amino acids successively while the peptide is bound on a gel carrier. Tsugita teaches that the pretreatment and cleavage sub-step of the procedure needs to be carried out in the absence of water (page 931, right col. 2nd paragraph, last 2 lines; page 930, 3rd paragraph). Therefore, the target protein has to be extracted from the gel and then dried to remove water or electroblotted to an Immobilon-CD membrane. The consequence of extracting peptide from a gel after separation is that some peptide will be lost during the process (it is true to any peptide or protein processing). Therefore, sequencing the peptide while it is bound to a gel would avoid the loss of peptide during extraction.

Tsugita does not teach a step of using polar aprotic solvent to remove water. However, using polar aprotic solvent to remove water is known in the art.

Tsugita teaches applying N-acylation protection by alkanoic acid anhydride at 60°C (see page 930, right col. 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph). Tsugita does not teach using dipolar- aprotic solvent to swollen the gel so that the pretreatment and C-terminal cleavage reaction could be carried out on the protein bound to the original gel right after electrophoresis. Vogt teaches a new non-aqueous swelling system; specifically he teaches that carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) gel treated with a dipolar aprotic solvent like *N,N*-dimethylacetamide with *p*-toluenesulfonic acid yields a high reactive gel-suspension of the polymer (see abstract). This dipolar aprotic solvent can remove water from the swollen gel in one step (see page 550, 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph), thus allowing a direct esterification of the hydroxyl group of CMC (see abstract). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use polar aprotic solvent to remove water and use dipolar aprotic solvent to remove water from the gel carrier bound with the target protein, as taught by Vogt with reasonable expectation that this would allow Tsugita-Convey-Xu's procedure to be carried out on the target protein kept on the gel carrier. Sequencing the peptide while it is bound to a gel would avoid the loss of peptide during extraction. Also because Vogt specifically teaches that dipolar aprotic solvent can remove water from gel while keep the gel swollen.

Tsugita teaches a step of allowing acetic anhydride to act on the dry peptide in the presence of pentafluoropropionic methyl ester (PFPM<sub>e</sub>) to successively release the C-terminal amino acid by the cleavage of the 5-oxazolone ring (see page 930, right col. 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph). PFPM<sub>e</sub> is similar to pentafluoropropionic acid (PFPA) in structure and reaction. Tsugita also teaches using PFPA in the cleavage of predetermined position of the peptide (see page 932, left col. 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph). It would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art to substitute PFPM<sub>e</sub> with PFPA in the step of releasing the C-terminal amino acids in Tsugita method, because these compounds have similar structures and similar effects.

Tsugita does not teach using polar aprotic solvent to remove dipolar aprotic solvent. Polar aprotic solvent and dipolar aprotic solvent are both aprotic solvents and very similar. It is well known that they can be used to remove each other.

Tsugita teaches hydrolysis treatment with an aqueous solution of tertiary amine compound (DMAE) after successive release of C-terminal amino acids (see page 930, right col. 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph).

Tsugita does not specifically teach allowing trypsin to act on the sample to cleave peptide for mass spectrometer analysis. Tsugita teaches that highly specific proteases have been used for specific fragmentation in the peptide-mass fingerprinting technique (see page 931, left col. 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). Trypsin is one of highly specific proteases commonly used. Covey teaches using trypsin to cleave peptide for mass spectrometry analysis (see abstract). Covey further teaches that the tryptic fragments ions are predominantly doubly charge cationic species because arginine and lysine are both very basic and each picks up a positive charged proton in solution (see col. 2. lines 63-67; Col. 3, lines 39-42), and the C-terminal tryptic fragment not contain an arginine or a lysine is signally charge (see col. 5, lines 58-60). Since the side chain of lysine is protected by acetylation treatment, only arginine site will be cut by trypsin. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use trypsin to cleave the peptide before mass spectrometry analysis as taught by Covey in the method of Tsugita, because smaller peptides are easier to analyze by mass spectrometry.

Desalting treatment is commonly used for changing buffer or removing buffer solution component. It would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art to use desalting treatment to remove the trypsin from the buffer solution to stop the reaction. Drying sample is a required step before performing MALDI-TOF-MS.

Tsugita teaches using MALDI-TOF-MS for measuring the molecular weight of the peptide fragments (see page 931, left col. 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). Tsugita does not teach analyzing mass spectra by comparing the peaks of cationic species with the peaks of the anionic species. As has been discussed above, Covey teaches that tryptic fragments ions are predominantly doubly charge cationic species because arginine and lysine are both very basic and each picks up a positive charged proton in solution (see col. 2. lines 63-67; Col. 3, lines 39-42), and the C-terminal tryptic fragment not containing an arginine or a lysine is signally charge (see col. 5, lines 58-60). The method of analyzing mass spectra according to the expected charges of the species is well known in the art. Xu teaches that fragmentation patterns in positive mode and negative mode are complementary for the elucidation of the peptide chain sequence (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the charge difference between normal tryptic fragments and C-terminal tryptic fragment as taught by Covey and correlate the fragmentation patterns in positive mode and negative mode as taught by Xu to elucidate the peptide chain sequence by means of MALDI-TOF-MS as taught by Tsugita.

In regard to Claims 8 and 9, Tsugita teaches that 20% acetic anhydride is used in the first step of the procedure for applying N-acetylation protection to the N-terminal of the protein and for forming oxazolone at C-terminal of the protein and 5% PFPMe is used in the second step to react with oxazolone (page 930, right col. 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph). Tsugita does not specifically teach maintaining acetic anhydride in the second step. However, since the function of acetic anhydride is to form oxazolone at C-terminal for perfluoroalkanoic acid to act on in the second step, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art to recognized that maintaining the concentration of acetic anhydride in the second step may benefit the reaction. Therefore, modified method of Tsugita teaches using symmetric anhydride of linear -chain alkanoic acid having 2 carbons (acetic

anhydride) for the formation of 5-oxazolone and subsequently release of C-terminal amino acids in association with cleavage of the 5-oxazolone ring (see page 930, right col. 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph).

In regard to Claim 10, Tsugita teaches using acetic anhydride as the alkanoic acid anhydride (see page 930, right col. 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph).

In regard to Claim 11, the pKa of PFPA is in a range of 0.3 to 2.5.

In regard to Claim 12, PFPA has 3 carbon atoms.

In regard to Claim 13, modified method of Tsugita teaches that the ratio of PFPA (5%) to acetic anhydride (20%) would be 1:4 (see page 930, right col. 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph). In the instant Claim, the lower limit of the ratio is 20:100 or 1:5. Applicant is advised that generally, differences in concentration or temperature will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration or temperature is critical. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to discover the optimum ratio of perfluoroalkanoic acid to alkanoic acid anhydride by routine experimentation.

In regard to Claims 14-16, Tsugita teaches that acetic anhydride is used in the pretreatment step of applying N-acylation protection (see page 930, right col. 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph).

In regard to Claim 17, Tsugita teaches using acetic anhydride as the alkanoic acid anhydride in the pretreatment step of applying N-acylation protection. Modified method of Tsugita teaches using acetic anhydride in combination with PFPA for the formation of 5-oxazolone and subsequent release of C-terminal amino acids in association with the cleavage of the 5-oxazolone ring (see page 930, right col. 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph).

#### ***Response to Arguments***

7. Applicant's arguments filed 03/20/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicants argue that PFPM<sub>e</sub> and PFPA have different mechanism in the C-terminal peptide degradation reaction. Therefore, PFPM<sub>e</sub> cannot be substituted by PFPA. PFPM<sub>e</sub> and PFPA have similar structure, and have been used in the same C-terminal peptide degradation process. Therefore, the substitute between PFPM<sub>e</sub> and PFPA is obvious.

The applicants argue that Tsugita by no means uses FAB-MS or MALDI-TOF-MS for the process disclosed in 2.13 C-terminal sequencing. Tsugita teaches using PFPA and using FAB-MS or MALDI-TOF-MS in the process disclosed in 3.1 multiple C-terminal sequencing. The ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the same method used in 3.1 multiple C-terminal sequencing can also be used in 2.13 C-terminal sequencing.

The applicants argue that Tsugita fails to teach or suggest any process in which chemical specific cleavage was carried out on the protein while maintained in a state of being bound on the polyacrylamide gel. The claims were rejected over Tsugita in view of Vogt. Vogt teaches a non-aqueous swelling system that carboxymethyl cellulose get treated with a dipolar aprotic solvent (N, N-dimethylacetamide with p-toluenesulfonic acid) yields a high reactive gel-suspension of the polymer.

The applicants argue that Vogt fails to teach or suggest any process for the preparation of a gel-suspension of CMC in the dipolar-aprotic solvent without p-toluenesulfonic acid. Vogt teaches that the dipolar-aprotic solvent can be used with other acid, although they may not swell CMC to a comparable extent. Tsguta'1992 used PFPA in C-terminal peptide degradation reaction. Therefore, ordinary skill in the art would recognize that dipolar-aprotic solvent with PFPA can also swell gels based Vogt's teaching.

The applicants argue that Covey fails to provide any suggestion as to whether or not such a double charge rule will be also observed for MALDI-TOF-MS or FRB-MS. Although Covey does not specifically teach MALDI-TOF-MS, ordinary skill in the art would know that the double charge rule taught by Covey is true for all ion evaporation mass spectrometry of Tryptic fragments. MALDI-TOF-MS or FRB-MS is not an exception.

Applicants argue that Xu fails to suggest any use of the protonated molecules  $[M+H]^+$  for the positive-ion mode analysis. Xu teaches the correlation between positive mode and negative mode fragmentation patterns. Although the protonation of the positive fragment depends on the C-terminal residues, salt concentration and pH, that does not change the complimentary relations between positive mode and negative mode of the fragmentation patterns.

Applicants argue that Harris fails to teach the  $[M-H]^-$  ions from trypsin autolysis fragments will be successfully used as mass calibrants in the negative-ion mode MALDI-TOF based analysis. Harris teaches using trypsin autolysis fragments as mass calibrants in MALDI-TOF based analysis (see abstract). Trypsin autolysis fragments have both positive and negative modes in MALDI-TOF. Therefore, both modes can be used for calibration.

#### ***Conclusion***

**8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT XU whose telephone number is (571)270-5560. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 7:30am-5:00pm, Fri 7:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vickie Kim can be reached on (571)272-0579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

4/9/2009

/Yelena G. Gakh/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

RX